Do consumers respond to billing frequency? Implications for urban water conservation

Casey J. Wichman

Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics University of Maryland, College Park

> IWREC Annual Meeting Washington, DC

> > September 7, 2014



Casey J. Wichman

Billing frequency

September 7, 2014 1 / 22

Research questions

Generally, what's the role of information provision in environmental policy?

- Do consumers respond to increased billing frequency?
- Do these effects remain over time?

A B +
 A B +
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Research questions

Generally, what's the role of information provision in environmental policy?

- Do consumers respond to increased billing frequency? Yes.
- Do these effects remain over time? Yes.

Research questions

Generally, what's the role of information provision in environmental policy?

- Do consumers respond to increased billing frequency? Yes.
- Do these effects remain over time? Yes.

Main research findings:

- Water consumers *increase* consumption by $\sim 5\%$ in response to more frequent billing.
- Treatment effects persist over time.
- Customer inattention to water bills negates these effects.

Road map

- Situate research in current literature
- Outline of data and billing transition
- Empirical strategy and results
- Conclusions, policy implications, and additional work

э

A B +
 A B +
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Context

- Information as environmental regulation
 - "Social norming" in water/electricity demand (Alcott, JPubE 2011; Ferraro and Price, REStat 2013)
 - Quantity reminders/bill shock in electricity demand (Gans et al., Energy Econ 2013; Jessoe and Rapson, AER 2014; Gilbert and Graff Zivin, JEBO 2014)
- Salience/attention
 - Consumer awareness of taxes (Finkelstein, AER 2009)
 - Automatic bill pay for electricity consumption (Sexton, REStat 2014)

Data & empirical strategy

Residential water billing data:

- ~4.5 years of billing data in Durham, North Carolina
 - Feb 2009 June 2014
 - \sim 57,000 households
- Matched with tax assessor data at parcel level
- 2010 Census block group demographics

・ロト ・ 一日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日

Data & empirical strategy

Residential water billing data:

- ~4.5 years of billing data in Durham, North Carolina
 - Feb 2009 June 2014
 - \sim 57,000 households
- Matched with tax assessor data at parcel level
- 2010 Census block group demographics

Experimental strategy:

- Starting in Dec. 2011, billing districts were individually transitioned from bi-monthly to monthly billing
- 12 out of 17 Durham's residential customers were transitioned by June 2014.
- Service fees and marginal prices for water consumption remained constant



Casey J. Wichman

Billing frequency

September 7, 2014 6 / 22

き▶ ▲ 差 ▶ ~ 差 ~ の � ↔



Casey J. Wichman

September 7, 2014

< 臣 →

æ

Data & empirical strategy

Residential water billing data:

- $\sim\!\!4.5$ years of billing data in Durham, North Carolina
 - Feb 2009 June 2014
 - \sim 57,000 households
- Matched with tax assessor data at parcel level
- 2010 Census block group demographics

Experimental strategy:

- Starting in Dec. 2011, billing districts were individually transitioned from bi-monthly to monthly billing
- 12 out of 17 Durham's residential customers were transitioned by June 2014.
- Service fees and marginal prices for water consumption remained constant

Tiered rate schedule under (bi-)monthly billing



B 6 9 B 7 B 7 B 7 B 7 B 7 B 7 B 7 B 7	of Durham City Hall Plaza ham, NC 27701 -560-1200 v.durhamnc.gov				City	of Durha	m U	tility Bi
Account	Cu	stomer Name		S	ervice Location	A	Apt/Unit	Bill Date
							234146	04/14/2014
PREVIOUS BILL PAYMENTS () ADJUSTMENTS BALANCE BRO WATER USAGE WATER USAGE SEWER SERVI MTHLY SOLID	AMOUNT 409/2014 UGHT FORWARD EINSIDE CITY DE FEE 5/8° MT I.INSIDE CITY DE FEE 5/8° MASTE COLL FEE WASTE COLL FEE		\$80.91 \$80.91CR \$0.00 \$9.31 \$6.15 \$15.79 \$7.02 \$1.80	USAGE 2392 1914 2435 497 478 9 198 9 10-560-1200 for 919-560-1200 for the City's WaterSe	CON:	PERIOD	in effect. Call at s on ogram.	
Palance Ferrus	rd Due Ber Dreuie		* ***	Parcel ID	Accou	int Type	IA Amo	unt/ERU's
Total Current C Total Amount E	charges Due By 0 Due	5/05/2014	\$40.07 \$40.07		RESID	ENTIAL	se	e Dack
Meter Number	Previous Read Date	Present Read Date	Number of Days	Previous Reading	Present Reading	Usage in cubic feet	Usa	ge equivalent n gallons
	03/12/2014	04/10/2014	29	6105	6526	421		3149

Casey J. Wichman

Billing frequency

September 7, 2014

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ロト

B 6 9 B 6 9	y of Durham 1 City Hall Plaza rham, NC 27701 9-560-1200 ww.durhamnc.gov				City	of Durha	m Ut	tility Bi
Account	Cu	stomer Name		5	Service Location	A	pt/Unit	Bill Date
							29.10	04/14/2014
PREVIOUS BIL PAYMENTS ADJUSTMENT BALANCE BR(WATER USAG WATER SERV SEWER USAG SEWER SERV MTHLY SOLID	L AMOUNT 0409/2014 S S DUGHT FORWARD EINSIDE CITE EINSIDE CITE EINSIDE CITE EINSIDE CITE SIR WASTE COLL FEE WASTE COLL FEE		\$80.91 \$80.91C \$0.00 \$9.31 \$6.15 \$15.79 \$7.02 \$1.80	R USAGE 2392 1943 1943 1943 1943 1957 473 9 The City's Year-F Please visit www. 919-560-1200 fm the City's WaterS	CON States States States States States States States States States States States	PERIOD PERIOD g aton Schedule remains org or call Durham One (plut lips, and for details	in effect. Call at s on ggram.	
Balance Form	ard Due Per Provie	e Bill	6.00	Parcel ID	Accou	int Type	IA Amo	ount/ERU's
Total Current Total Amount	Charges Due By 0 Due	5/05/2014	\$40.07 \$40.07		RESID	ENTIAL	50	D DOUN
Meter Number	Previous Read Date	Present Read Date	Number of Days	Previous Reading	Present Reading	Usage in cubic feet	Usa	ge equivalent n gallons
	03/12/2014	04/10/2014	29	6105	6526	421		3149

Casey J. Wichman

Billing frequency

September 7, 2014

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ロト

DURHAM 101 102 919 www	y of Durham City Hall Plaza ham, NC 27701 -560-1200 w.durhamnc.gov				City	of Durha	m U	tility Bil
Account	Cu	stomer Name	States States	S	ervice Location	F	Apt/Unit	Bill Date
							234111	04/14/2014
PREVIOUS BILL AMOUNT \$80.91 PAYMENTS G409/2014 \$80.91CR ADJUSTNEHTS \$0.00 BALANCE BROUGHT FORWARD \$0.00 WATER USAGE INSIDE CITY \$9.31 SEWER USAGE INSIDE CITY \$6.15 SEWER USAGE INSIDE CITY \$15.79 SEWER SERVICE FEE \$18 \$7.02 MTHLY SOLID WASTE COLL FEE \$1.80				CONSUMPTION HISTORY				
				the City's WaterSe	anse High Efficiency T	oilet (HET) Rebate Pr	ogram.	
Balance Forwa	rd Due Per Previo	us Bill	\$.00	Parcel ID	Accourt	nt Type	IA Amo "se	e back"
Total Current C Total Amount	Charges Due By 0 Due	5/05/2014	\$40.07 \$40.07		RESIDE	ENTIAL		
Meter Number	Previous Read Date	Present Read Date	Number of Days	Previous Reading	Present Reading	Usage in cubic feet	Usa	ge equivalent in gallons
	03/12/2014	04/10/2014	29	6105	6526	421		3149

Casey J. Wichman

Billing frequency

September 7, 2014

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・ロト

Difference-in-differences controlling for

• seasonality, weather, time effects, household characteristics

 \rightarrow 3-8% increase in consumption in response to monthly billing

lasey	J.	Wichman	
-------	----	---------	--

< (17) b

Difference-in-differences controlling for

• seasonality, weather, time effects, household characteristics

 \rightarrow 3-8% increase in consumption in response to monthly billing

Difference-in-discontinuity within

• 5000, 2500, and 1000 feet of common billing district boundary

 \rightarrow Results are robust

Casey	J.	Wichman	
-------	----	---------	--

4 **(1) (1)**

Difference-in-differences controlling for

• seasonality, weather, time effects, household characteristics

 \rightarrow 3-8% increase in consumption in response to monthly billing

Difference-in-discontinuity within

• 5000, 2500, and 1000 feet of common billing district boundary

 \rightarrow Results are robust

Dynamic models:

 \rightarrow Treatment effects are persistent

Difference-in-differences controlling for

• seasonality, weather, time effects, household characteristics

 \rightarrow 3-8% increase in consumption in response to monthly billing

Difference-in-discontinuity within

• 5000, 2500, and 1000 feet of common billing district boundary

 \rightarrow Results are robust

Dynamic models:

 \rightarrow Treatment effects are persistent

Heterogeneity:

- \rightarrow No evidence of consumptive heterogeneity
- \rightarrow Low "wealth" households display little response
- \rightarrow Response in summer is 5× larger



(本間) (本語) (本語)

12 / 22

э



Casey J. Wichman

Billing frequency

September 7, 2014 13 / 22

ъ

・ロト ・ 日ト ・ モート

Pooled cross-section difference-in-difference results

Dependent Variable:					
$\ln(W_{it})$	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
BF	0.047 (0.006)*** [0.033]	0.058 (0.006)*** [0.035]	0.072 (0.006)*** [0.022]***	0.047 (0.007)*** [0.021]**	0.038 (0.005)*** [0.022]*
Observations	1,670,476	1,670,476	1,661,315	1,661,315	1,661,315
R-squared	0.001	0.002	0.038	0.039	0.040
Additional controls:					
Time trend	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y
Weather covariates	_	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y
HH characteristics	_	-	Υ	Υ	Y
Season FEs	_	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ
Time FEs	_	_	_	Υ	Υ
Billing district FEs	_	-	_	_	Υ

Note: Robust standard errors clustered at the household-level in parentheses. Robust standard errors clustered at the billing district in square brackets. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

Fixed effects difference-in-difference regression results

Dependent Variable:		
$\ln(W_{it})$	(1)	(2)
BF	0.079	0.045
	$(0.005)^{***}$	$(0.005)^{***}$
	0.020	[0.020]**
Households	56,888	56,888
Observations	$1,\!670,\!476$	$1,\!670,\!476$
Within R-squared	0.004	0.006
Additional controls:		
Time trend	Y	Υ
Weather covariates	Υ	Υ
Season fixed effects	Υ	Υ
Time fixed effects	_	Υ
Household fixed effects	Υ	Υ

Note: Robust standard errors clustered at the household-level in parentheses. Robust standard errors clustered at the billing district in square brackets. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

イロト 不得下 不良下 不良下

15 / 22

Fixed effects difference-in-discontinuity results

Dependent Variable:	(1)	(2)	(3)
$\ln(W_{it})$	Within 2000ft	Within 1000ft	Within 500ft
BF	0.049	0.047	0.044
	(0.006)***	(0.008)***	(0.011)***
	[0.021]**	[0.020]**	[0.024]*
Number of households	45,398	27,810	14,903
Observations	1,327,468	807,481	431,532
Within R-squared	0.006	0.006	0.006
Additional controls: Time trend Weather covariates	Y Y	Y Y	Y Y
Season fixed effects	Y	Y	Y
Time fixed effects	Y	Y	Y
Household fixed effects	Y	Y	Y

Note: Robust standard errors clustered at the household-level in parentheses. Robust standard errors clustered at the billing district in square brackets. Results are from local linear panel data estimators with log consumption as the dependent variable. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

э

16 / 22

イロト 不得す 不良す 不良す

Dynamic models and partial adjustment estimates

	(1)	(2)
Estimator:	ÒĹS	Fixed Effects
Dependent variable:	$\ln(w_{it})$	$\ln(w_{it})$
$\ln(w_{it-1})$	0.812^{***}	0.618^{***}
	(0.006)	(0.003)
$_{ m BF}$	0.023^{*}	0.029^{***}
	(0.013)	(0.002)
Long-run treatment effect:	0.123^{*}	0.076^{***}
	(0.065)	(0.006)
Number of households		56.868
Observations	1.529.937	1.538.201
R-squared	0.649	
Within R-squared		0.367

Note: Robust standard errors clustered at the household-level in parentheses. All models control for weather, demographic covariates, time trend, and season, time and billing district fixed effects. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.0.

Heterogeneous responses to billing frequency

Dependent Variable:					
$\ln(W_{it})$	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
		a	1		
		Consumptive	heterogeneity		
Panel A:	< 25%ile	25 - 50%ile	50 - 75%ile	> 75%ile	
BF	0.029**	0.044***	0.052^{***}	0.047^{***}	
	(0.014)	(0.009)	(0.008)	(0.008)	
Number of households	14 455	14 114	14 194	14 195	
Observations	417 753	417 511	417 617	417 595	
Within B squared	0.021	0.005	0.012	0.044	
within it-squared	0.051	0.005	0.012	0.044	
	Wealth heterogeneity				
Panel B:	< 25%ile	25 - 50%ile	50 - 75%ile	> 75%ile	
BF	0.018	0.042***	0.076***	0.052***	
	(0.012)	(0.010)	(0.009)	(0.010)	
Number of households	15.041	14 134	13 003	13 810	
Ol	10,041	14,154	13,903	15,610	
Observations	417,637	417,620	417,607	417,612	
Within R-squared	0.005	0.005	0.006	0.018	
Note: Bobust standard error	s clustered at	the household-le	vel in parentheses	All models	

Note: Robust standard errors clustered at the household-level in parentheses. All models control for weather, time trend, seasonality, time and households fixed effects. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

Casey J. Wichman

Seasonal heterogeneity and automatic bill payment

Dependent Variable:			
$\ln(W_{it})$	(1)	(2)	(3)
BF	0.021^{***}	0.049^{***}	0.083^{***}
	(0.006)	(0.005)	(0.005)
BF×spring	-0.007	. ,	
	(0.005)		
BF×summer	0.133***		
	(0.008)		
$BF \times fall$	0.033***		
	(0.005)		
$BF \times abp$,	-0.079***	-0.077***
-		(0.014)	(0.014)
Number of households	56,888	56,888	56,888
Observations	$1,\!670,\!476$	$1,\!670,\!476$	$1,\!670,\!476$
Within R-squared	0.006	0.006	0.004
Additional controls:			
Time fixed effects	Υ	Υ	Ν

Note: Robust standard errors clustered at the household-level in parentheses. All models control for weather, time trend, seasonality, and household fixed effects. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.01.

Casey J. Wichman



Consumers increase consumption (3-8%) in response to more frequent billing.



Summary

Consumers increase consumption (3-8%) in response to more frequent billing.

Why?

Casev	J.	Wic	hman

Billing frequency

September 7, 2014 2

イロト 不得下 不良下 不良下

20 / 22

ъ

Summary

Consumers increase consumption (3-8%) in response to more frequent billing.

Why?

- Price and/or quantity misperception
- Increased billing frequency reduces bias in consumers' perceptions of price and/or use
- Welfare gains to consumers from increases in information

Summary and implications

Summary of results:

- Consumers increase consumption (3-8%) in response to more frequent billing
- Effects are persistent and heterogenous across wealth and seasonality
- Inattention (auto bill pay) negates these effects

Policy relevance:

- Results run contrary to findings in electricity literature
 - \implies unintended result from increased information provision
- Increased billing frequency might not aid in conservation
- Refines understanding of decision making under limited information



Thank you.

wichman@umd.edu

Casey	J. '	Wic	hman
-------	------	-----	------

Billing frequency

September 7, 2014 22 / 22